INTERVENTION FOR ADOLESCENTS WITH ADHD: ACADEMIC AND SOCIAL FUNCTIONING EFFECTS

George J. DuPaul, PhD

Lehigh University



Steven W. Evans, PhD

Julie Sarno Owens, PhD

Ohio University

Courtney L. Cleminshaw

Lehigh University

NASP Virtual Conference, February 2021



AGENDA

- Rationale for school-based intervention for adolescents with ADHD
- Purpose and aims of the Bridges to Educational Success in Teens (BEST) study
- Participants and measures
- Description of Challenging Horizons Program for high school students with ADHD
- Impact on academic, behavioral, and social functioning
- Interpretation of findings, limitations, implications, and future directions

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

Investigative team led by Steven Evans, PhD (Ohio University), George DuPaul, PhD (Lehigh University), & Julie Owens (Ohio University)

The research reported here was supported by the Institute of Education Sciences, US Dept of Education, through Grant R305A140356 to Ohio University. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent views of the Institute of Education Sciences or The US Department of Education.

Special thanks to current and past BEST Project Research Assistants from Lehigh University and Ohio University.



ACADEMIC FUNCTIONING OF ADOLESCENTS WITH ADHD

- Lower GPA & class levels
- Increased risk of tardiness and absenteeism, and higher drop out rates
- Lower standardized test achievement scores and school grades
- Difficulty with task completion, studying, homework, and notetaking
- Executive functioning deficits
 - Self-regulation, decision making, engaging in goal-directed behavior
- Secondary school students with ADHD have deficits in reading, math, and spelling
 - Continue into adolescence with moderate to large effect sizes

(Biederman et al., 2004; DuPaul & Langberg, 2015; Evans et al., 2001; Kent et al., 2011; Kuriyan et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2015; Raggi & Chronis, 2006)





BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL FUNCTIONING OF ADOLESCENTS WITH ADHD

- Chronic ADHD symptoms, especially inattention & impulsivity
- Risk for conduct disorder
- Unstable and poor peer relationships
 - Victimization related to bullying
- High risk behaviors (e.g., driving, drug use, delinquency)
- Associated with:
 - Emotion dysregulation (Bunford et al. 2015)
 - Impulsivity and Inattention symptoms (Zoromski et al., 2015)
 - Disorganization

TREATMENTS FOR ADOLESCENTS WITH ADHD

- Stimulant Medication (Smith, Pelham, Evans et al., 1998)
 - ADHD symptoms, delinquent behavior, defiance, teasing
- Middle School STAND, HOPS, CHP
 - Improvement in inattention
 - Mixed results regarding social functioning
- CHP HS Pilot study (Evans et al., 2014) $(d_t d_c)$
 - Symptoms
 - Inattention (.28)
 - HI (.14)
 - Functioning IRS
 - Relationships with peers (.21)
 - Parent-child (-.07)
 - Family (.70)

Evans et al. (2016); Langberg et al. (2012)

GAPS IN TREATMENT OUTCOME LITERATURE

- Few prior studies of school-based intervention for high school students with ADHD
- Limited research on academic and social functioning of high school students with ADHD
- Given chronic nature of academic and social deficits experienced by individuals with ADHD and the importance of both functioning areas in predicting long-term adult outcome it is critically important to develop and evaluate intervention to improve academic performance and social functioning in high school

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. What are the effects of a multi-component school-based intervention on
 - Organizational skills
 - Homework performance
 - GPA of high school students with ADHD
 - ADHD & DBD symptoms
 - Social functioning?
- 2. Group differences at 6-month follow-up?
- 3. Group x Time interaction such that groups differ in slope over time?

METHODOLOGY

RECRUITMENT

- Schools in partnership with Ohio University & Lehigh University
 - Rural, suburban, and urban high schools
- High school students recruited via flyers & school staff across 3 years
- Eligibility Assessment
 - Semi-Structured clinical interview & psycho-educational testing
 - Inclusion: ADHD-I or ADHD-C and IQ \geq 75
 - Exclusion: Severe substance use; bipolar disorder, psychosis, or OCD
- Randomly assigned within school to CHP or Community Care (CC), stratified by gender and medication status at baseline

SCHOOLS

- School Size: M = 1,883 students (range: 755 to 3235)
- Free/Reduced Lunch: *M* = 42% (range: 15.8% to 69.6%)
- Special Education: *M* = 19% (range: 15.5% to 22.1%)
- Caucasian: *M* = 67% (11.4% to 95.8%)

PARTICIPANTS

- 186 participants (92 CHP; 94 CC)
- 79% male; 47% 9th grade, 34% 10th grade; 18% 11th grade
- 68% had a previous diagnosis of ADHD
- 10% Hispanic/Latino
- 14.5% Black; 1% Asian, 74% Caucasian; 5% other; 5% not reported
- 37.1% received ADHD medication at pre-treatment
- 64% with IEP or 504 plan
- 65% with two parent figures in the home
- Income
 - 13% < \$25K, 34% between \$25K and \$75K; 35% between \$75K and \$125K; 9% >\$150K;

PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURES

	Rater	Eligibility	Baseline	Mid Year	End of Year	Follow Up
ADHD Symptoms (ARS-5)	Parent	Х		Х	Х	Х
Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating Scale	Parent	X		Х	Х	Х
Social Skills Improvement System	Parent & Student	Х		Х	Х	Х
Children's Organizational Skills Scales	Parent	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Homework Problems Checklist	Parent	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Grade Point Average (GPA)	School	GPA (Pre-treatment overall GPA, Quarterly GPA Treatment Year & After)				
School Functioning Scale	Teacher & Student	8 occasions across treatment & follow-up year				

BEST PROGRAM: INTERVENTION OVERVIEW

- School-based intervention
- Designed to improve academic, social, and family functioning
- Interventions focus on training in
 - Organizational skills,
 - Problem solving skills
 - Academic skills
 - Interpersonal skills training
 - Parenting
- Developed from the Challenging Horizons Program (CHP)
 - Modified to be developmentally appropriate for high school students with briefer coaching sessions and more emphasis on problem-solving, self-monitoring, and self-advocacy skills

BRIDGES TO EDUCATIONAL SUCCESS FOR TEENS



HOMEWORK MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Completed with both parent/caregiver and teen
- Components:
 - Reasonable goal: Grades?
 - Setting HW time: What time and where will homework be completed?
 - Preferably when parent/caregiver is home
 - Setting **amount of time** for teenager to do homework
 - What if the teen has no HW?
- Rules
 - If no HW, parent/caregiver gives teen something to do
 - Parent/caregiver occasionally checks on child during HW time
 - HW time should not be interrupted
- Everyone signs the contract

		Management Plan	
1. Deciding on a	reasonable goal.		
The goal of this p	an is for (r	name of teenager) to	
2. Setting a home	work time when a parent/c	aregiver is at home.	
	(name of teenager) will co	omplete homework every day at	
Special situations On days with a difference	s (e.g., days with football pr	ractice): school activities,	(name
teenager) will con	plete homework at	, right after/right before	
3. Setting an amo	unt of time for the teenage	r to do homework.	
teenager) will con	unt of time for the teenage	, right after/right before r to do homework. nplete homework every day for	m
teenager) will con 3. Setting an amo 4. Weekends, sno	uplete homework at ount of time for the teenage (name of teenager) will con w days and holidays.	r ight after/right before	m
teenager) will con	plete homework at unt of time for the teenage (name of teenager) will con w days and holidays. (name of teer	, right after/right before r to do homework. nplete homework every day for nager) will	m

INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS

- Dosage: M = 40.3 (SD = 15.9); range 0 to 69 (median = 45)
 - Only 20% received less than 30 sessions
- Integrity Percentage Adherence (1094 intervention components)
 - *M* = 92.9% (range: 68% to 100%)
 - Most components were > 80%
- Percentage Agreement: M = 92.98% (range: 76% to 100%)

PARENTING GROUP

- Dosage: *M* = 4.3 (*SD* = 3.8; range: 0 to 10)
 - 45% attended 5 or more sessions
- Percentage Adherence: *M* = 78% (range: 75% to 82%)
- Percentage Agreement: *M* = 89% (range: 78% to 100%)

ADOLESCENT INTERPERSONAL SKILLS GROUP

- Dosage: *M* = 3.1 (SD = 3.3; range: 0 to 10);
 - 37% attended 5 or more sessions
- Percentage Adherence: 92% (52% to 100%)
- Percentage Agreement: 91% (83% to 100%)

ANALYSIS & RESULTS

DATA ANALYSIS PLAN

- Intent-to-treat analysis
 - 2 of 92 CHP students did not attend a single session; 6 withdrew from tx due to moves
- Hierarchical linear modeling (HLM) across 4 (parent ratings) or 8 (GPA, SFS) time points
 - Intercept at 6-month follow-up
 - Group x Time interaction
- Cohen's d effect size at each time point (magnitude of between-group differences)

GROUP X TIME INTERACTION EFFECTS

- ARS-5 Inattention symptoms (p = .008)
 - Post-treatment d = .43; Follow-up d = .50
- SSIS-RS parent and adolescent ratings (p = .002)
 - Post-treatment d = .40; Follow-up d = .52 (parent) and within normal range
 - Post-treatment d = .26; Follow-up d = .12 (adolescent)
- COSS parent ratings (p < .01)
 - Follow-up d = -.58
- HPC parent ratings (p < .05)
 - Follow-up d = -.44
- GPA quadratic (p = .024) and cubic (p = .013)
 - Cumulative GPA in treatment year d = .29
- Trends for DBD ratings but no significant interaction effects
- No significant effects for teacher or adolescent SFS ratings



Parent Ratings of Inattention Symptoms (possible range 0-27)

Effect Sizes Parent Ratings of Inattention Sx





Effect Sizes Parent SSIS SS



Effect Sizes Adolescent Self-Ratings SSIS SS















GPA

INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS, LIMITATIONS, IMPLICATIONS, & FUTURE DIRECTIONS

FINDINGS

- Significant tx effects on parent-rated organizational skills & HW completion/management
 - COSS score below clinical range (T-Score < 60) at follow-up for BEST group
 - Similar outcomes to findings with middle school students with ADHD (CHP, HOPS)
- Significant tx effect on GPA trajectory with small to medium increases in grades during treatment year and small difference at end of follow-up year
 - Similar to findings of protective effects for middle school students with ADHD (CHP); however, maintenance of effects during follow-up year not found

CHALLENGING HORIZONS PROGRAM: GRADE DATA ACROSS MARKING PERIODS





INATTENTION SYMPTOMS

- Meaningful reductions in inattention symptoms
 - Compared to findings from middle school CHP RCT
 - Similar, but smaller, effect sizes for inattention symptoms at follow-up (.63 MS; .50 HS)
 - Similar absolute improvements

	Middle School	High School
Baseline	19.3	19.29
Post	12.87	14.8
Follow-up	10.82	12.52

SOCIAL FUNCTIONING

- Meaningful gains in social behavior
 - Compared to findings from middle school CHP RCT
 - No gains for middle school students in ITT (some in CASE)
 - HS students improved

	Middle School	High School
Baseline	82.14	78.64
Post	85.11	88.11
Follow-up	87.01	93.40

OTHER FINDINGS

- Like with the middle school CHP study, magnitude of social & behavioral benefit (but not academic performance) over control condition increased during follow-up year without treatment for adolescents in the CHP condition
- Gains in high school study were achieved with much less student contact time per week than the middle school study
 - Middle School 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours per week
 - High School about 30-40 min per week (plus 10 evening group meetings)
- Continued or more intensive academic support may be necessary for HS with ADHD

LIMITATIONS

- Parent and student ratings not masked
- Imperfect measure of social functioning of adolescents
- Missing data for some variables
- Dosage not accounted for in analyses (intent-to-treat)
- GPA across four subject areas may not match with focus of coaching/treatment sessions
- Block scheduling may impact accuracy of GPA in some cases

IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE

- Multicomponent training intervention can be used to enhance organizational skills, materials management, and homework performance of high school students with ADHD
- Intervention may be protective vs. typical decline in GPA over school year for students with ADHD
- Multicomponent intervention will primarily impact academic performance, inattention symptoms, and social behaviors; enhancement of specific academic skills may require additional intervention
- Engagement with parents in formulating and implementing a homework management plan may be key in making academic gains; however this conclusion requires further analysis

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Completer and dose-response analyses
- Identify predictors (teen, family, school, intervention characteristics) of latent class trajectories (i.e., treatment response classes)
- More specific consideration of match between treatment focus and academic subject outcome (GPA)
- Consideration of booster sessions in follow-up year to help maintain and possibly increase gains
- Effectiveness study where school personnel deliver BEST intervention protocol



REFERENCES

- Biederman, J., Monuteaux, M., Seidman, L., Doyle, A. E., Mick, E., Wilens, T., et al. (2004). Impact of executive function deficits and ADHD on academic outcomes in children. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72, 757-66.
- DuPaul, G. J., & Langberg, J. M. (2015). Educational impairments in children with ADHD. In R. Barkley (Ed.), Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment (pp. 169-185). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Evans, S.W., Langberg, J.M., Schultz, B.K., Vaughn, A., Altaye, M., Marshall, S.A., & Zoromski, A.K. (2015). Evaluation of a school-based treatment program for young children with ADHD. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *84*, 15-30.
- Evans, S.W., Pelham, W. E., Smith, B. H., Bukstein, O., Gnagy, E. M., Greiner, A. R., Altenderfer, L., & Baron-Myak, C. (2001). Dose-response effects of methylphenidate on ecologically valid measures of academic performance and classroom behavior in adolescents with ADHD. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 9, 163–175.
- Evans, S.W., Schultz, B. K., & DeMars, C. E. (2014). High school-based treatment for adolescent with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Results from a pilot study examining outcomes and dosage. *School Psychology Review*, 43(2), 185-202.
- Kent, K.M., Pelham Jr., W.E., Molina, B.S.G., Sibley, M.H., Waschbusch, D.A., Yu, J....Karch, K.M. (2011). The academic experience of male high school students with ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *39*, 451-462.
- Kuriyan, A. G., Pelham, W. E., Jr., Molina, B. S., Waschbusch, D.A., Gnagy, E. M., Sibley, M. H., et al. (2013). Young adult educational and vocational outcomes of children diagnosed with ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 41(1), 27-41.
- Langberg, J.M., Epstein, J.N., Becker, S.P., Girio-Herrara, E., & Vaughn, A.J. (2012). Evaluation of the Homework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS) intervention for middle school students with ADHD as implemented by school mental health providers. *School Psychology Review*, 41, 342-364.
- Murray, D.W., Molina, B. S. G., Glew, K., Houck, P., Greiner, A., Fong, D., Swanson, J., Arnold, L. E., Lerner, M., Hechtman, L., Abikoff, H. B., & Jensen, P. S. (2014). Prevalence and characteristics of school services for high school students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. School Mental Health. DOI: 10.1007/s12310-014-9128-6.
- Raggi, V. & Chronis, A.M. (2006). Interventions to address the academic impairment of children and adolescents with ADHD. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *9*, 85-111.